

The Impact of Positive Thinking on Disease Progression in Oncology Patients

Ivan Milosevic

Primary Care ZZZR Kragujevac, Serbia.

***Correspondence Author:** Ivan Milosevic, Primary Care ZZZR Kragujevac, Serbia.

Received Date: January 05, 2026; **Accepted date:** January 26, 2026; **Published date:** February 10, 2025.

Citation: Ivan Milosevic, (2026), The Impact of Positive Thinking on Disease Progression in Oncology Patients, *Clinical Research and Studies*, 5(1); **DOI:**10.31579/2835-2882/110

Copyright: © 2026, Ivan Milosevic. this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Cancer is a life-threatening disease that significantly affects both physical and psychological well-being. In recent decades, increasing attention has been directed toward psychosocial factors that may influence disease progression and patient outcomes. Positive thinking, optimism, and adaptive coping strategies have been proposed as potentially beneficial factors in oncology care. This article reviews current scientific evidence on the impact of positive thinking on disease progression, treatment adherence, immune function, and quality of life in cancer patients. The findings suggest that while positive thinking does not replace conventional oncological treatment, it may play an important supportive role in improving psychological resilience, reducing stress, and potentially influencing biological pathways related to disease outcomes.

Key words: positive thinking; cancer; oncology patients; psychosocial factors; disease progression; quality of life

1. Introduction

Cancer remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Despite significant advances in diagnosis and treatment, cancer patients often experience substantial psychological distress, including anxiety, depression, and fear of disease progression. These psychological responses may negatively affect treatment adherence, immune function, and overall quality of life.

Positive thinking, defined as a cognitive and emotional orientation characterized by optimism, hope, and constructive coping strategies, has gained increasing attention as a complementary approach in oncology. The biopsychosocial model of health suggests that psychological factors interact with biological processes and social environments, potentially influencing disease outcomes. This article aims to examine the role of positive thinking in the course of disease among oncology patients and to assess its relevance for clinical practice.

2. Theoretical Background

The relationship between psychological well-being and physical health is supported by extensive research in psychoneuroimmunology. Chronic psychological stress has been shown to activate the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic nervous system, leading to increased secretion of stress hormones such as cortisol and catecholamines, which may suppress immune function.

Positive thinking and optimism may counteract these effects by promoting emotional regulation, enhancing coping abilities, and reducing perceived stress. According to the biopsychosocial model, these psychological

processes may influence biological pathways involved in tumor progression, including inflammation, immune surveillance, and angiogenesis.

3. Impact of Positive Thinking on Oncology Patients

3.1 Psychological Well-Being and Quality of Life

Multiple studies indicate that oncology patients with a positive outlook report lower levels of emotional distress, reduced symptoms of depression and anxiety, and improved quality of life. Positive thinking has been associated with better emotional adjustment, stronger social relationships, and increased life satisfaction, even in patients with advanced or metastatic disease.

3.2 Treatment Adherence and Health-Related Behaviors

Optimistic patients are more likely to actively participate in their treatment, adhere to prescribed therapies, attend medical appointments, and adopt healthier lifestyle behaviors. Improved adherence and health behaviors may indirectly contribute to better treatment outcomes and disease management.

3.3 Immune Function and Biological Mechanisms

Emerging evidence suggests that positive emotional states may influence immune parameters relevant to cancer progression, such as natural killer cell activity and inflammatory cytokine levels. Although results across studies are not fully consistent, reduced psychological stress and enhanced optimism appear to support immune regulation and may contribute to slower disease progression in some patient populations.

4. Limitations and Controversies

Despite growing interest in positive psychology within oncology, the role of positive thinking in cancer progression remains controversial. Some authors caution against overstating its effects, emphasizing that cancer outcomes are primarily determined by biological and medical factors. Excessive emphasis on positivity may also lead to feelings of guilt or self-blame in patients who experience emotional distress.

Methodological limitations, including small sample sizes, heterogeneous patient populations, and reliance on self-reported psychological measures, further complicate interpretation of existing findings. Therefore, positive thinking should be viewed as a supportive, rather than curative, factor in oncology care.

5. Clinical Implications

Integrating psychosocial interventions that promote positive coping strategies may provide meaningful benefits for cancer patients. Evidence-based approaches such as cognitive-behavioral therapy, psycho-oncological counseling, mindfulness-based stress reduction, and supportive group therapy can help patients cultivate realistic optimism while acknowledging emotional challenges.

Healthcare professionals should encourage balanced psychological support, emphasizing emotional validation, adaptive coping, and patient-centered care alongside standard oncological treatment.

6. Conclusion

Positive thinking may play a supportive role in the management of oncology patients by improving psychological well-being, enhancing treatment adherence, and potentially influencing biological mechanisms related to disease progression. While it cannot replace evidence-based medical therapies, fostering a positive mental attitude within a comprehensive, multidisciplinary treatment approach may contribute to improved overall outcomes. Further large-scale, longitudinal studies are needed to clarify the extent and mechanisms of these effects.

References

1. Antoni, M. H., Lutgendorf, S. K., Cole, S. W., et al. (2006). The influence of bio-behavioural factors on tumour biology: Pathways and mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 6(3), 240–248.
2. Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Segerstrom, et al. (2010). Optimism. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30(7), 879–889.
3. Chida, Y., Hamer, M., Wardle, J., & Steptoe, A. et al. (2008). Do stress-related psychosocial factors contribute to cancer incidence and survival? *Nature Clinical Practice Oncology*, 5(8), 466–475.
4. Coyne, J. C., Tennen, H., & Ranchor, et al. (2010). Positive psychology in cancer care: Bad science, exaggerated claims, and *unproven interventions*. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 39(1), 16–26.
5. Faller, H., Schuler, M., Richard, M., et al. (2013). Effects of psycho-oncologic interventions on emotional distress and quality of life in adult patients with cancer: *Systematic review and meta-analysis*. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 31(6), 782–793.
6. Garssen, B., & Goodkin, K. et al. (1999). On the role of immunological factors as mediators between psychosocial factors and cancer progression. *Psychiatry Research*, 85(1), 51–61.
7. Lutgendorf, S. K., & Andersen, B. L. et al. (2015). Biobehavioral approaches to cancer progression and survival: *Mechanisms and interventions*. *American Psychologist*, 70(2), 186–197.
8. Spiegel, D., Bloom, J. R., Kraemer, H. C., et al. (1989). *Effect of psychosocial treatment on survival of patients with metastatic breast cancer*. *The Lancet*, 334(8668), 888–891.
9. Stanton, A. L., Luecken, L. J., MacKinnon, et al. (2013). Mechanisms in psychosocial interventions for adults living with cancer. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 81(2), 318–335.

Ready to submit your research? Choose ClinicSearch and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At ClinicSearch, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://clinicsearchonline.org/journals/clinical-research-and-studies->



© The Author(s) 2026. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.