

# Human Development in the Latin American Context: Genealogy, Epistemology, and Applied Research in Social Well-being

Cruz Garcia Lirios

Universidad de la Salud, México.

\*Correspondence Author: Cruz Garcia Lirios, Universidad de la Salud, México.

Received Date: 20 February 2025 | Accepted Date: 10 March 2025 | Published Date: 25 March 2025

**Citation:** Cruz G. Lirios, (2025), Human Development in the Latin American Context: Genealogy, Epistemology, and Applied Research in Social Well-being, *Clinical Genetic Research*, 4(2); **Doi:**10.31579/2834-8532/056

**Copyright:** © 2025, Cruz Garcia Lirios. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Abstract

This article examines human development in Latin America by integrating historical genealogy, epistemological debates, and applied intervention frameworks within a critical research design. Drawing on mixed methods, it analyzes how structural inequalities, health disparities, and governance models shape opportunities for well-being. Interviews with key informants and documentary analysis reveal contradictions between institutional policies and lived experiences. The findings contribute to the debate on the epistemology of human development and offer new insights for regional interventions that align social justice, health, and sustainability agendas.

**Keywords:** human development; Latin America; inequality; health

## Introduction

The objective of this study is to explore the conceptual genealogy, epistemological foundations, and applied interventions of human development in the Latin American context, with particular emphasis on health and social well-being (Sen, 1999). The genealogy of the concept demonstrates a transition from economic determinism to a multidimensional approach emphasizing education, health, and capabilities (Nussbaum, 2011). Within this shift, the epistemology of human development in Latin America has engaged with dependency theory, liberation philosophy, and critical social sciences, seeking to transcend reductionist models based solely on economic growth (Escobar, 2015).

The regional context underscores persistent inequalities, limited access to medical care, and fragile governance structures, factors that hinder the expansion of individual and collective capacities (CEPAL, 2022). Antecedents of research in this field reveal a gap between macroeconomic policies and micro-level outcomes, particularly regarding access to health services and equity (Kliksberg, 2007). This problematization suggests that despite advances in democratic governance, Latin America continues to face structural challenges that directly affect human development indicators (Ocampo, 2020).

The state of the art indicates that although human development has been studied extensively, most analyses emphasize global perspectives, leaving the Latin American context underexplored in terms of epistemological innovation and applied models (Bebbington, 2019). From this standpoint, the study is guided by the following research question: How do governance practices and health disparities influence the epistemological understanding and intervention strategies of human development in Latin

America? The hypothesis states that governance structures mediated by inequality significantly restrict the impact of human development policies, creating a gap between official indicators and lived experiences (Fukuda-Parr, 2003). The proposed intervention focuses on strengthening governance through participatory approaches that align health, equity, and sustainability objectives.

## Method

The research design is based on a mixed-methods approach, combining documentary analysis with semi-structured interviews with key informants to triangulate findings (Denzin, 2012). The ethical dimension adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, ensuring informed consent, confidentiality, and respect for the autonomy of participants (World Medical Association, 2019). The critical path of the study included three phases: literature review, fieldwork, and interpretative analysis. Informants included health professionals, community leaders, and policy actors, ensuring a diverse perspective on human development challenges (Maxwell, 2013).

The model adopted integrates Amartya Sen's capabilities approach with governance and health equity frameworks, enabling a multidimensional perspective (Robeyns, 2017). Analytical categories included inequality, governance, access to health, and epistemological frameworks. Triangulation was performed through convergence of sources, comparing documentary analysis with interview extracts and field observations (Flick, 2018). This process ensured validity and reliability while acknowledging the complexity of Latin American contexts.

## Results

Category	Policy Observations	Interview Extracts			
Inequality	Programs emphasize reduction of poverty through economic transfers	“We receive aid, but healthcare access remains a privilege for few” (Community leader, Mexico)			
Governance	Policies	highlight	decentralization	and participation	“Decisions are still top-down; communities have no real voice” (Policy actor, Colombia)
Access to Health	Indicators report expanded coverage of primary care	“Coverage does not mean quality; medicines and specialists are lacking” (Health professional, Peru)			
Epistemology	Reports adopt the Human Development Index as core measure	“Development is more than numbers; it is about dignity and recognition” (Academic, Argentina)			

**Table 1: contrasts observations derived from policy documents with extracts from interviews with key informants. These findings illustrate discrepancies between institutional discourses of human development and the realities faced by marginalized communities.**

These findings show that while policy documents highlight progress in reducing poverty and expanding healthcare, informants emphasize the persistence of structural inequities, limited participation, and insufficient recognition of local epistemologies.

## Discussion

The results indicate a significant dissonance between official discourses and lived experiences, consistent with previous research demonstrating the persistence of inequality despite targeted interventions (Lustig, 2020). Studies on health disparities in Latin America similarly reveal that universal coverage policies often mask deep inequalities in access and quality of services (Gwatkin, 2017). Our findings corroborate this evidence, as community leaders and health professionals stress that coverage is not synonymous with effective access.

Comparisons with international literature highlight the specificity of Latin American contexts, where governance deficits amplify the gap between development policies and their outcomes (Grugel & Riggirozzi, 2018). Unlike other regions, epistemological debates in Latin America emphasize collective rights, indigenous perspectives, and postcolonial critiques, which remain largely absent in global indices such as the Human Development Index (Escobar, 2015). Thus, the study contributes by integrating these critical epistemologies into discussions of health and governance.

## Conclusion

The scope of this study lies in its integration of genealogy, epistemology, and empirical evidence, offering a multidimensional understanding of human development in Latin America. A key contribution is the demonstration that governance and health disparities are not peripheral issues but central determinants of well-being. The limitations of the study include its reliance on a limited sample of informants and the focus on specific national contexts, which may restrict generalizability. Future research should expand comparative approaches and deepen analysis of community-based interventions. The recommendations derived from this study call for policies that transcend economic indicators, strengthen participatory governance, and promote health equity as a central pillar of human development.

## References

- Bebbington, A. (2019). Social conflict, economic development and extractive industry: Evidence from South America. Routledge.
- CEPAL. (2022). Panorama social de América Latina 2022. Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe.
- Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186>
- Escobar, A. (2015). Degrowth, postdevelopment, and transitions: A preliminary conversation. *Sustainability Science*, 10(3), 451–462. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-015-0297-5>
- Flick, U. (2018). An introduction to qualitative research (6th ed.). Sage.
- Fukuda-Parr, S. (2003). The human development paradigm: Operationalizing Sen's ideas on capabilities. *Feminist Economics*, 9(2–3), 301–317. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1354570022000077980>
- Grugel, J., & Riggirozzi, P. (2018). Health governance in Latin America: Securing social rights in fragmented welfare states. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Gwatkin, D. R. (2017). 10 best resources on health equity. *Health Policy and Planning*, 32(5), 698–701. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czx040>
- Kliksberg, B. (2007). Inequality and human development in Latin America: Challenges and strategies. *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 16(2), 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2397.2007.00483.x>
- Lustig, N. (2020). Inequality and social policy in Latin America during the COVID-19 crisis. *World Development*, 137, 105211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105211>
- Maxwell, J. A. (2013). Qualitative research design: An interactive approach (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Nussbaum, M. (2011). Creating capabilities. Harvard University Press.
- Ocampo, J. A. (2020). Structuralism and dependency in Latin America. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 58(2), 565–608. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20191407>
- Robeyns, I. (2017). Wellbeing, freedom and social justice: The capability approach re-examined. Open Book Publishers.
- Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.
- World Medical Association. (2019). Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. WMA. <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects>

**Ready to submit your research? Choose ClinicSearch and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

**At ClinicSearch, research is always in progress.**

Learn more <https://clinicsearchonline.org/journals/clinical-research-and-reviews>



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.