

International Journal of Clinical Nephrology

Pramod Singh*

Open Access

Research Article

Early Side Effects after Administration of the 1st Dose of Oxford-AstraZeneca Vaccine Short title: Side Effects AstraZeneca

Pramod Singh^{1*}, Abdul Rafae Faisal ¹, M. Hassaan Shah², Ahmad Saeed ¹, Hadia Younas ³, Usamah Saeed Butt ⁴, Sudip Pudasaini ⁵, Abdul Rafay Pasha ⁶, Umair Rehman¹

¹Department of Surgery, Punjab Medical College, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

²Department of Medicine, Qazi Hussain Ahmad Medical Complex, Nowshera, KPK, Pakistan.

³Department of Medicine, Services Institute of Medical Sciences, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.

⁴Department of Medicine, King Edward Medical University, Lahore, Pakistan.

⁵Department of Medicine, Nepal Medical College, Kathmandu, Nepal.

⁶Department of Medicine, Allama Iqbal Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan.

*Corresponding Author: Pramod Singh, Department of Surgery, Punjab Medical College, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Received Date: September 04, 2023; Accepted Date: September 18, 2023; Published Date: September 29, 2023

Citation: Pramod Singh, Abdul Rafae Faisal, M. Hassaan Shah, Ahmad Saeed, Hadia Younas, et.al,(2023). Early Side Effects after Administration of the 1st Dose of Oxford-AstraZeneca Vaccine Short title: Side Effects AstraZeneca, *International Journal of Clinical Nephrology*. 2(5); **DOI:**10.37579/2834-5142/0016

Copyright: © 2023, Pramod Singh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Vaccines have played a central role in minimizing new infections, the rate of hospitalizations, and the overall burden on the health sector. Fear of side-effects is the biggest and commonest reason for avoiding getting vaccinated. It is, therefore, essential to maintain the clarity and consistency of message, to support and encourage people to get vaccinated. This study aims to contribute in that regard, by registering and quantifying the early sideeffects of the Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine in Pakistan. This study employs a non-random crosssectional design. Data collected from 477 participants using a structured questionnaire was used to investigate the relationship between socio-demographic characteristics and side effect profiles of the participants. Binomial Logistic Regression was used to analyze the data. Odds Ratio (OR) gives the likelihood of having a side effect versus the reference group. Significance level (α) for the probability value (p-value) is set at 0.05. Fever (30.19%) was the most commonly experienced side effect, followed closely by fatigue (22.01%). 71.11% of those with fever experienced low grade fever (99-100F) while 62.69% of body aches experienced were moderate in intensity (Grades 4-6). In general, younger people are significantly more likely (p=0.023) to experience side effects (OR-1 = 1.023: interpreted as 1.023 times increase per unit decrease in age). Similarly, they are more likely (p= 0.029) to have a headache (OR-1 = 1.039). Also, they are more likely (p= 0.007) to have a body ache (OR-1 = 1.038). The Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine side-effects seem to be more prevalent among younger age groups, which points to increased vaccine safety among older individuals that are usually more susceptible to severe COVID-19 infection. In addition, we found a substantially reduced number of side-effects, as compared to the clinical trials, which is an encouraging indicator for vaccine safety.

Key words: COVID-19 infection; clinical trials

Introduction

Since the COVID-19 pandemic started in early 2020, the world has undergone drastic and disruptive changes. The wave upon wave of new infections, constantly having to follow restrictions and fears of the deadly disease has left enduring scars on the public psyche [1]. Not only this, but the pandemic has led to trillions of dollars of loss to the world economy [2]. For this pandemic to end, vaccines have played a central role in minimizing new infections, the rate of hospitalizations and the overall burden on the health sector. A large percentage of the world's population has to be

vaccinated in a short period of time to achieve the herd immunity required to stop the propagation of the Coronavirus [3].

These vaccines have varying mechanisms of actions. Some are mRNA vaccines, like Pfizer-BioNtech and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines, while others, like the Janssen shot, are non-replicating viral vector vaccines. Moreover, the Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine is an Adenovirus vaccine and Sputnik V is a recombinant Adenovirus vaccine. Many others are inactivated vaccines like Sinopharm and Sinovac vaccines [4, 5]. Side effects have been the cause of concern for many and have led to delay in getting vaccinated.

This delay in vaccine acceptance, vaccine hesitancy, is turning out to be an emerging public health problem. [6, 7] According to multiple studies, fear of side-effects is the biggest and commonest reason for avoiding getting vaccinated [8, 9]. It is, therefore, essential to maintain the clarity and consistency of message, to support and encourage people to get vaccinated. Pakistan started its vaccination campaign with Sinopharm, Sinovac, CanSino and Sputnik V [10]. This effort received public backlash from some quarters. Keeping in mind the dire need of the rapid vaccination of the masses, it is important to maintain the public's trust, which can only be based on adequate statistical data regarding the safety of the vaccine. This study aims to contribute in that regard, by registering and quantifying the early side-effects of the Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine in Pakistan.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in July 2021. All individuals presenting at the vaccination site that were within government-approved age groups (at the time of data collection, 40 years and above) were considered for the study. Individuals with acute febrile illness (last 72 hours), history of COVID-19 infection (positive PCR), prior COVID-19 vaccination., history of foreign travel during the past 3 months, receiving any investigational drug for COVID-19 (including for prophylaxis) during the last 30 days, receiving systemic immunoglobulins, monoclonal antibodies and/or convalescent serum during the past 4 months, significant or severe co-morbidities [Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic pulmonary disease, asthma, active smoker or vapers (during the past year), BMI> 30 Kg/m2, history of anaphylaxis or urticaria or other adverse effects related to vaccine or its components, severe or uncontrolled heart disease, cancer and any other severe disease, bleeding disorder, known or suspected immunodeficient status [HIV, immunosuppressive drugs (cytotoxins and steroids) and

asplenia], blood donation during the last 28 days, known or suspected alcohol or drug dependency, pregnancy or breastfeeding, participating in any research study during the past 28 days were excluded from the study. This study employs a non-random cross-sectional design. Data collected from 477 participants using a structured questionnaire was used to investigate the relationship between socio-demographic characteristics and side effect profiles of the participants. Only those participants that met the inclusion criteria for this study were selected for follow up. The participants were followed on Day 1, 3, and 7 to gather data regarding side-effects. Data was analyzed descriptively and inferentially by IBM SPSS 24. Binomial Logistic Regression was used to analyze the data. For missing data regarding educational status, data was imputed using mice package in R. Odds Ratio (OR) gives the likelihood of having a side effect versus the reference group. Significance level (α) for the probability value (p-value) is set at 0.05.

Results

477 individuals participated in this study. Of them, 51.15% of the respondents belonged to the 40-49 age group, followed by the 50-59 age group which had 31.24% participants. 44.89% had primary education or below. A vast majority, 72.54%, were male. Fever (30.19%) was the most commonly experienced side effect, followed closely by fatigue (22.01%). 71.11% of those with fever experienced low grade fever (99-100F) while 62.69% of body aches experienced were moderate in intensity (Grades 4-6) [Table 1]. In general, younger people are significantly more likely (p=0.023) to experience side effects (OR-1 =1.023: interpreted as 1.023 times increase per unit decrease in age). Similarly, they are more likely (p=0.029) to have a headache (OR-1 =1.039). Also, they are more likely (p=0.007) to have a body ache (OR-1 =1.038). In general, higher educational status reported a higher frequency of side effects (p<0.05) [Table 2].

Side effects	Frequency	Percentage
Headache	21	4.4
Flu-like illness	0	0
Pain, swelling, rash, bleeding or redness at the site ofinjection		
	56	11.74
Fatigue	18	3.77
Anaphylaxis reaction	1	0.21
Fever	144	30.19
Low Grade [99F -100F] Moderate	64	71.11
[100.1F -103 F] High grade [103.1 F- 106 F]Undocumented	21	23.33
100 r J Chaocamentea	5	5.56
	54	-
Body ache Grade (1-	105	22.01
3)	8	11.94
Grade (4-6) Grade (7-9)	42	62.69
Undocumented (7-9)	17	25.37
	38	-

Table 1. Frequency of side effects.

Side effect	Variables	Reference	Log odds	p-value	Ordinal (OR)	Regression
		group	odus		(OK)	
Headache	Age		-0.077	0.029*	0.926	
	Male	Female	0.521	0.339	1.685	
		Primary or				
	Matric or below	below	0.269	0.658	1.31	
	Intermediate or	Primary or				
	below	below	-16.268	0.989	0	
	Bachelors and	Primary or				
	above	below	1.378	0.015*	3.968	
	Urban	Rural	-0.897	0.210	0.408	
Injection site						
reaction	Age		-0.017	0.304	0.983	

lional Journal of Cillica	ii Neprirology					rayes
	Male	Female	0.081	0.803	1.085	•
	Matric or below	Primary or below	0.083	0.829	1.085	
	Intermediate or below	Primary or below				
		,	0.526	0.21	1.693	
	Bachelors and above	Primary or below	0.459	0.272	1.583	
	Urban	Rural	-0.440	0.327	0.644	
Fatigue	Age		0.014	0.583	1.014	
- Augus	Male	Female	-0.845	0.091	0.43	
	Matric or below	Primary or below	1.422	0.030*	4.149	
	Intermediate or below	Primary or below	1.722	0.030	7.17/	
	intermediate of below	1 Illiary of octow	-0.076	0.947	0.927	
	Bachelors and above	Primary or below	1.620	0.022*	5.058	
	Urban	Rural	-0.070	0.932	1.81x108	
Anaphylaxis	Age	Kurur	-0.085	0.587	0.918	
Anaphylaxis	Male	Female	-19.4	0.999	0.510	
	Matric or below	Primary or below	-18.8	0.999	0	
	Intermediate or below	Primary or below	-19.1	0.999	0	
	Bachelors and above	Primary or below	-19.8	0.999	0	
	Urban	Rural	19	0.999	1.81x108	
Fever	Age	Kurar	-0.015	0.182	0.984	
Tevel	Male	Female	0.180	0.442	1.198	
	Matric or below	Primary or below	-0.410	0.149	0.663	
	Intermediate or below	Primary or below	0.480	0.107	1.616	
	Bachelors and above	Primary or below	0.719	0.0128*	2.053	
	Urban	Rural	0.719	0.0128	2.025	
Body ache	Age	Kurai	-0.037	0.007*	0.963	
Body acite	Male	Female	-0.194	0.433	0.823	
	Matric or below	Primary or below	0.394	0.168	1.483	
	Intermediate or below	Primary or below	0.394	0.168	1.015	
	Bachelors and above	Primary or below	0.571	0.075	1.771	
	Urban	Rural	-0.287	0.430	0.75	
Amy aids affect		Kurai	-0.287	0.430	0.73	
Any side effect	Age Male	Female	0.189	0.367	1.209	
	Matric or below	Primary or below	0.169		1.184	
	Intermediate or below		0.169	0.475		
	Intermediate of below	Primary or below	0.389	0.176	1.477	
	Bachelors and	Primary or	0.371			
	above	below		0.185	1.451	
	Urban	Rural	-0.100	0.733	0.904	
	-					

Table 2. Binary Logistic Regression.

Discussion

In this study, we attempted to confirm the presence and measure the frequency of occurrence of AstraZeneca vaccine's early adverse effects in Pakistan. This included headache, bodyaches, fatigue, fever, injection site reaction, flu-like illness and anaphylaxis. Except for the flu-like illness and anaphylaxis, for all COVID-19 vaccines, these early side effects are, generally speaking, the commonest early COVID-19 vaccine side effects [11]. 49.47% of the respondents in our study had, at least, one of these symptoms.

The side-effects were categorized as local and systemic. Local effects refer to injection site reactions which include pain, swelling, rash, redness or bleeding at the site of vaccination. They were present only in 11.74% of the study population which is in marked contrast to phase 2/3 trials, that showed a considerably higher occurrence across all age groups (88% in the 18–55 years group, 73% in the 56–69 years group, and 61% in 70 years and older group), and phase 1/2 trials, conducted in UK, at 67% [12, 13]. It is, however, much closer to a regional study conducted in Bangladesh (35.5%) [14] Most of the local adverse effects occurred on the first and second post-vaccination day, while a few were recorded on the third day. None were reported in the following days. This is in line with the results of the clinical trials [12].

On the other hand, the systemic adverse effects solicited included headache, fever, flu-like illness, body aches, fatigue and anaphylaxis. At least one systemic effect was reported by 42.76% of the study participants, which is

considerably lower than the results of the phase 2/3 trials (86% in the 18–55 years group, 77% in the 56–69 years group, and 65% in the 70 years and older group) [12].

Fever, at 30.19%, was present in a greater number of individuals than any other side effect. The phase 2/3 trials show a similar result with 24% incidence in the 18-55 age and no reports of fever in the 55 or above age group. They are also similar to the results of the Bangladeshi study (20%) mentioned above [12, 14]. Another study conducted on those 50 years old and above reported a lower incidence of 10% [15]. Of those that reported fever, nearly three quarters (71.11%) had only a mild case of it. Another 23.33% reported moderate fever and very few individuals reported incidence of high-grade fever. This is in accordance with phase 2/3 trials [12].

Body aches were reported by 22.01% of the participants. This figure is much lower than the phase 1/2 trials (60%) and much closer to a regional study (16.4%) [13, 14]. Body aches were categorized using a numerical scale from 1 to 10. Over half (62.69%) of the people with body aches reported moderate (4 to 6) while a significant number (25.37%) of them also had severe body aches (7 to 10). This agrees with prior reports of mostly moderate systemic side-effects. [16]

Headache was present in a mere 4.40% of the respondents. This is, again, in concurrence with the Bangladeshi study (6.9%) rather than the clinical trial results (68%). Fatigue was reported by 3.77% of the participants, a result similar to the Bangladeshi study, and there was not a single case of flu-like illness [14].

One case of anaphylactic reaction was also registered, which is in accordance with its rare occurrence in similar studies [12, 16]. This hypersensitivity is probably due to polysorbate 80 which is present in adenoviral vector vaccines. These excipients serve to increase absorption, improve solubility, and enhance stability of the whole product [17, 18].

The development and progress of side-effects were assessed through multiple follow-ups during the 7-day time period. All of them appeared on Day 1 and most disappeared by Day 4. A majority of symptoms were present on Day 2 (frequency = 316), followed by Day 1 (219) and Day 3 (122). There was always a dramatic fall off, by Day 4 (13). Rarely did a symptom persist till Day 5 (2). The mean duration of symptoms was (1.9 ± 0.7) , which is very similar to reported in a study in Bangladesh (1.9 \pm 1.3 day). The inferential analysis yielded a significant inverse relationship between age and headache and age and body aches. Generally, younger individuals were at a greater risk of exhibiting adverse effects than their older counterparts. This is in accordance with multiple studies [12, 16, 19]. An explanation of this phenomenon lies in the fact that younger individuals form stronger immune responses which naturally lead to a greater number of such side-effects [20]. That may be a reason for the reduced frequencies of side-effects in this study, as the average age was 51.28 years (AstraZeneca vaccine was available only for those who were 40 years old or above, at the time of data collection). Nevertheless, it cannot be the sole cause behind considerable differences in results. This is supported by the fact that some studies have shown that there are far fewer real-world side-effects of these vaccines [14, 19]. Natural variations among different populations also exist and must have a part to play in it. No significant associations were found for sex or residence. This is in contrast to past studies that found an association between sex and the occurrence of side-effects [17, 19]. Studies on vaccine side-effects cite past COVID-19 infection and co-morbidities as among the risk factors for the emergence of post-vaccination adverse effects (although one study shows an opposite relationship for the latter) [14, 21].

Conclusion

The Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine side-effects seem to be more prevalent among younger age groups, which points to increased vaccine safety among older individuals that are usually more susceptible to severe COVID-19 infection. They are not associated with sex and residence. However, education is positively associated with them, which might indicate a nocebo effect among the educated resulting from higher awareness and knowledge about side-effects. Finally, we found a substantially reduced number of side-effects, as compared to the clinical trials, which is an encouraging indicator for vaccine safety.

We enacted stringent and expansive exclusion criteria to eliminate nearly all chronic illnesses and co-morbidities that may influence the results. Similarly, we did not include individuals with past COVID-19 infection (positive PCR test in the past). This was done in the interest of reducing all possible risk factors, which may inflate the figures, to a minimum and to increase the confidence in the results generated. While it is a fact that increasing age is inversely correlated with the development of said side-effects, as mentioned above, the responsibility for the considerably lower frequency of their occurrence cannot be laid solely at the feet of higher median age, in this study. Further independent, prospective studies are required to detect all the factors at play.

Acknowledgements

None.

Limitations

As the follow-ups were done via phone calls rather than in-person (owing to pandemic-induced restrictions), the data is subject to reporting and recall bias. At any rate, 3 equally spaced follow-ups, which allowed for cross and secondary questioning, kept such issues to a minimum. No serological testing was included, which may give an idea about the unknown risk factors.

References

- Khan KS, Mamun MA, Griffiths MD, Ullah I. The mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic across different cohorts. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction. 2020;20(1):380-6.
- Szmigiera M. Impact of the coronavirus pandemic on the global economy – Statistics & Facts [Internet]. Statista. 2021 [cited 2022Jun29].
- 3. Randolph HE, Barreiro LB. Herd immunity: Understanding covid-19. Immunity. 2020;52(5):737–41.
- Zimmer C, Corum J, Wee S-lee, Kristoffersen M. Coronavirus vaccine tracker [Internet]. The New York Times. The New York Times; 2020 [cited 2022Jun29].
- Craven J. Covid-19 Vaccine tracker [Internet]. Regulatory Affairs Professionals Society (RAPS). [cited 2022Jun29].
- Harrison EA, Wu JW. Vaccine confidence in the time of COVID-19. European journal of epidemiology. 2020 Apr;35(4):325-30.
- Dror AA, Eisenbach N, Taiber S, Morozov NG, Mizrachi M, Zigron A, Srouji S, SelaE. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. European journal of epidemiology. 2020 Aug;35(8):775-9.
- Luyten J, Bruyneel L, van Hoek AJ. Assessing vaccine hesitancy in the UK population using a generalized vaccine hesitancy survey instrument. Vaccine. 2019 Apr 24;37(18):2494-501.
- Szmyd B, Karuga FF, Bartoszek A, Staniecka K, Siwecka N, Bartoszek A, Błaszczyk M, Radek M. Attitude and behaviors towards SARS-CoV-2 vaccination among healthcare workers: A cross-sectional study from Poland. Vaccines. 2021 Mar 4:9(3):218.
- Ministry of National Health Services Regulations and Coordination. Vaccine Statistics [Internet]. COVID. [cited 2022Jun29].
- 11. World Health Organization. Statement for healthcare professionals: How COVID-19 vaccines are regulated for safety and effectiveness (revised March 2022) [Internet]. World Health Organization. [cited 2022Jun29].
- 12. Ramasamy MN, Minassian AM, Ewer KJ, Flaxman AL, Folegatti PM, Owens DR, Voysey M, Aley PK, Angus B, Babbage G, Belij-Rammerstorfer S. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. The Lancet. 2020 Dec 19;396(10267):1979-93.
- 13. Folegatti PM, Ewer KJ, Aley PK, Angus B, Becker S, Belij-Rammerstorfer S, Bellamy D, Bibi S, Bittaye M, Clutterbuck EA, Dold C. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2: a preliminary report of a phase 1/2, single-blind, randomised controlled trial. The Lancet. 2020 Aug 15;396(10249):467-78.
- Khalil M, Mahbub-Uz-Zaman K, Hossain AS, Ahmed F, Chowdhury M, Karim F, Khan ST, Miah M, Alam S, Khaleque N, Kibria M. Adverse events following COVISHIELD vaccination among adult population in Bangladesh. SN Comprehensive Clinical Medicine. 2021 Nov;3(11):2207-13.
- Iacobucci G. COVID-19: fever, chills, and aches more common when AstraZeneca and Pfizer vaccines are mixed, early data show. BMJ: British Medical Journal (Online). 2021 May 12;373.
- Almufty HB, Mohammed SA, Abdullah AM, Merza MA. Potential adverse effects of COVID19 vaccines among Iraqi population; a comparison between the three available vaccines in Iraq; a retrospective cross-sectional study. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2021 Sep 1:15(5):102207.
- 17. Almufty HB, Mohammed SA, Abdullah AM, Merza MA. Potential adverse effects of COVID19 vaccines among Iraqi population; a comparison between the three available vaccines in Iraq; a retrospective cross-sectional study. Diabetes &

- Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2021 Sep 1;15(5):102207.
- Kounis NG, Koniari I, de Gregorio C, Velissaris D, Petalas K, Brinia A, Assimakopoulos SF, Gogos C, Kouni SN, Kounis GN, Calogiuri G. Allergic reactions to current available COVID-19 vaccinations: pathophysiology, causality, and therapeutic considerations. Vaccines. 2021 Mar 5;9(3):221.
- Kadyrova I, Yegorov S, Negmetzhanov B, Kolesnikova Y, Kolesnichenko S, Korshukov I, Baiken Y, Matkarimov B, Miller
- MS, Hortelano GH, Babenko D. Sputnik-V reactogenicity and immunogenicity in the blood and mucosa: a prospective cohort study. medRxiv. 2022 Jan 1.
- 20. 10- Lawton G. You're only as young as your immune system. New Scientist. 2020 Mar 28;245(3275):44-8.
- Riad A, Pokorná A, Mekhemar M, Conrad J, Klugarová J, Koščík M, Klugar M, Attia S. Safety of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine: independent evidence from two EU states. Vaccines. 2021 Jun 18;9(6):673.

Ready to submit your research? Choose ClinicSearch and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- > rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- > rapid publication on acceptance
- > authors retain copyrights
- > unique DOI for all articles
- > immediate, unrestricted online access

At ClinicSearch, research is always in progress.

Learn more http://clinicsearchonline.org/journals/international-journal-of-clinical-nephrology



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.