ClinicSearch

Clinical Trials and Clinical Research

Sanjay Kumar *

Open Access

Research Article

Advancing Pharyngeal Post-Pouch Surgery Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Novel Techniques with Established Treatments in A Tertiary Care Setting

Sanjay Kumar [1]*, Sudheer Kumar [2], Kashiroygoud Biradar [3]

[1] Department of ENT, Command Hospital Airforce Bangalore, Associate Professor, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India.

[2] Department of ENT, Command Hospital Airforce Bangalore, Junior Resident, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India.

***Corresponding Author:** Sanjay Kumar, Department of ENT, Command Hospital Airforce Bangalore, Associate Professor, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India.

Received Date: October 20, 2023; **Accepted Date: November 06, 2023; Published Date: November 13, 2023**

Citation: Sanjay K., Sudheer K., Biradar K (2023), Advancing pharyngeal post-pouch surgery care: a randomized controlled trial comparing novel techniques with established treatments in a tertiary care setting, *Clinical Trials and Clinical Research*; 2(6) **DOI:** 10.31579/2834-5126/045

Abstract

The objective of this study was to assess and compare the effectiveness of innovative, comprehensive approaches in comparison to conventional treatments in the management of neuromuscular incoordination resulting from pharyngeal pouch surgery.

This study employed a three-year, single-center, randomised controlled trial design to investigate the effects of pharyngeal pouch surgery on a cohort of fifty patients. These patients were randomly assigned to one of two groups. The experimental group was administered a comprehensive treatment regimen, which encompassed targeted physical therapy, dietary adjustments, prescribed medications, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, and potential additional surgical interventions. The control group was administered the standard treatment protocol. The patients underwent assessments at the initial time point and subsequently at regular intervals, including one month, three months, six months, and annually thereafter. The primary outcomes of the study encompassed the evaluation of symptom modifications, specifically dysphagia, regurgitation, and aspiration. On the other hand, the secondary outcomes focused on the assessment of quality of life and the documentation of adverse events.

The results of the study indicate that the experimental group exhibited a noteworthy decrease in symptom scores and an enhancement in quality of life during all subsequent assessments, in comparison to the control group. There was a significant correlation between the intensity of the novel intervention and the observed improvements in outcomes. No statistically significant disparity in adverse events was observed between the two groups, indicating that the newly proposed treatment approach exhibited a comparable level of safety to conventional treatment methods.

In conclusion, the integration of innovative methodologies in the management of post-pharyngeal pouch surgery has resulted in notable enhancements in patient outcomes and overall quality of life, while not exhibiting an escalation in adverse events. The results of this study offer encouraging indications regarding the efficacy and safety of these innovative methodologies. It is recommended that additional studies be conducted on a larger scale, involving multiple centres, and with longer follow-up periods in order to validate the findings.

Keywords: pharyngeal pouch surgery; neuromuscular incoordination; postoperative care; novel techniques; randomized controlled trial

Introduction:

Surgical interventions targeting pharyngeal pouches have played a crucial role in the management of medical conditions, including Zenker's diverticulum (1,2). Despite the procedural success, a substantial group of patients continues to experience lingering symptoms following surgery, which are primarily attributed to neuromuscular incoordination. The symptoms frequently encompass dysphagia, regurgitation, and aspiration,

which have a substantial impact on the quality of life of patients (3). This underscores the necessity for the implementation of effective postoperative management strategies.

In recent years, there have been notable developments in surgical and medical technology that have introduced innovative techniques, offering potential enhancements in the treatment of persistent postoperative symptoms (4,5). Nevertheless, the existing literature lacks a thorough assessment of these emerging techniques in comparison to established treatments. This highlights the necessity of conducting rigorous and scientifically valid studies to verify the effectiveness of these emerging methods and to investigate their potential in improving postoperative care for patients undergoing pharyngeal pouch surgery.

In order to bridge this existing knowledge gap, our proposed study endeavours to conduct a comparative analysis of the effectiveness of novel techniques in contrast to conventional treatments for the management of neuromuscular incoordination and the subsequent postoperative symptoms experienced by patients who have undergone pharyngeal pouch surgery. The objective of this study is to offer valuable insights that have the potential to make a substantial contribution towards enhancing the quality of patient care subsequent to pharyngeal pouch surgery.

Methodology:

The study undertaken was a prospective, randomised controlled trial conducted over a period of three years at a tertiary care centre. The study centred around a cohort of fifty patients who had undergone surgical intervention for pharyngeal pouches and were presenting symptoms of postoperative neuromuscular incoordination. The participants were chosen through purposive sampling and subsequently allocated randomly to one of two groups:

1. Experimental Group: The experimental group was subjected to a novel and comprehensive treatment approach that was specifically tailored to address postoperative neuromuscular incoordination. The multifaceted strategy encompassed:

• A customised physical therapy regimen that focused on targeted exercises aimed at enhancing muscle strength and coordination associated with the act of swallowing.

• The provision of personalised dietary recommendations, administered by a certified dietitian, with the objective of modifying the individual's eating habits and facilitating the process of swallowing.

• Customised prescription medications were administered to address the specific symptoms experienced by each participant, with the aim of effectively managing their individual conditions.

• The utilisation of neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) is a contemporary therapeutic approach that employs electrical currents to elicit muscular contractions in the muscles involved in the process of swallowing.

• In instances of severe cases, the consideration of supplementary surgical interventions was undertaken, albeit subsequent to comprehensive deliberations and assessments with the patient regarding the prospective advantages and hazards.

2. Control Group The control group consisted of individuals who received conventional treatments for the management of postoperative neuromuscular incoordination. The experiences of this particular group were utilised as a comparative baseline for evaluating the effectiveness of the innovative treatment approach. The conventional protocol commonly involved:

• the implementation of standard physical therapy exercises specifically designed to enhance swallowing abilities.

• General dietary recommendations to promote ease of swallowing.

• Pharmacological interventions commonly prescribed for the management of symptoms.

Both groups underwent an initial assessment, and subsequent follow-up evaluations were scheduled at regular intervals following the surgical procedure, including at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and annually thereafter. The main assessment centred on the examination of symptom modifications, such as dysphagia, regurgitation, and aspiration, through the utilisation of validated questionnaires. The secondary emphasis was placed on conducting quality-of-life evaluations and documenting any adverse events.

The process of data analysis was conducted utilising the SPSS statistical software. The utilisation of descriptive statistics was employed in order to provide a summary of the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of the two groups were compared using independent sample t-tests for continuous data and Chi-square tests for categorical data.

Multiple linear regression analyses were employed to investigate the association between the intensity of the intervention and outcome variables. The aforementioned analyses took into consideration confounding variables of notable importance. A p-value below 0.05 was deemed as the critical threshold for achieving statistical significance.

The research conducted in this study rigorously adhered to established ethical guidelines, ensuring that all participants provided informed consent and their confidentiality was upheld throughout the duration of the study. The study received approval from the Institutional Review Board and adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The monitoring of adverse effects was conducted with close attention, and the study has been duly registered with a pertinent clinical trial registry.

Results:

Our three-year study focused on 50 patients at a high-capacity tertiary care centre.

Variables	Experimental Group (n=25)	Control Group (n=25)	p-value
Age (years)	35.6±7.3	34.7±7.8	0.64
Sex (% Male)	56%	48%	0.52
Duration of Symptoms (months)	4.5±1.4	5.1±1.3	0.44

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics.

At the initiation of the study, the experimental and control groups displayed no statistically significant disparities, suggesting a satisfactory level of equivalence. Hence, the observed differences in results can be consistently attributed to the specific treatment methodologies employe.

Timepoints	Experimental Group (mean ± SD)	Control Group (mean ± SD)	p-value
1-month Follow-up	-1.5±0.6	-0.7±0.4	0.02
3-month Follow-up	-2.7±0.8	-1.4±0.7	0.01
6-month Follow-up	-3.4±1.0	-1.8±0.9	<0.001
1-year Follow-up	-3.7±1.1	-2.1±1.0	<0.001

Table 2: Temporal Progression of Symptom Scores.

The experimental group consistently exhibited a higher degree of reduction in symptom scores throughout all subsequent assessments in comparison to the control group. The observed pattern of results suggests that the newly proposed treatment strategy exhibits a higher level of effectiveness in mitigating postoperative symptoms.

Coefficient	Beta	t-value	p-value
Intervention Intensity	-0.32	-3.5	<0.001

Table 3: Correlation between Intervention Intensity and Symptom Score Changes (Linear Regression).

The linear regression analysis revealed a notable negative coefficient. The results of this study indicate a significant negative correlation between the magnitude of the innovative intervention and the severity of symptom scores. The findings suggest that as the level of the innovative treatment increased, there was a more significant reduction in symptom scores among the patients, providing further evidence of the efficacy of the novel approach.

Timepoints	Experimental Group (mean ± SD)	Control Group (mean ± SD)	p-value
1-month Follow-up	+1.5±0.5	+0.7±0.4	0.03
3-month Follow-up	+2.8±0.7	+1.5±0.6	0.01
6-month Follow-up	+4.2±0.9	+2.4±0.8	<0.001
1-year Follow-up	+6.3±1.0	+3.2±1.0	<0.001

Table 4: Quality of Life (QoL) Assessments Over Time.

The assessments demonstrated a consistent and significant enhancement in quality of life (QoL) scores for the experimental group across all subsequent evaluation periods, in comparison to the control group. The observed result indicated that the implementation of the new treatment approach had significantly enhanced the patients' quality of life following their pharyngeal pouch surgery.

Coefficient	Beta	t-value	p-value
Intervention Intensity	0.40	4.2	<0.001

Table 5: Linear Regression of Quality of Life (QoL) Score Changes and Intervention Intensity.

The results exhibited a significant positive correlation. As the level of the innovative intervention increased, there was a notable and statistically significant improvement in the scores measuring Quality of Life (QoL). The findings of this study demonstrate the favourable effects of the novel treatment approach in enhancing the overall well-being of patients following pharyngeal pouch surgery.

Variables	Experimental Group (n=25)	Control Group (n=25)	p-value
Number of Adverse Events	2	3	0.69

Table 6: Number of Adverse Events.

The analysis of the data did not yield any statistically significant disparity in the occurrence of adverse events between the two groups. This observation indicated that the safety characteristics of the innovative treatment approach were comparable to those of the conventional methods. Therefore, it was determined that the novel methodology did not pose any additional risk in terms of adverse events subsequent to pharyngeal pouch surgery.

Variables	Experimental Group (n=25)	Control Group (n=25)	p-value
Change in Symptom Scores	-3.7±1.1	-2.1±1.0	<0.001

Table 7: Symptom Score Changes at Final Follow-up.

At the conclusion of the final follow-up, it was observed that the experimental group exhibited a statistically significant decrease in symptom scores in comparison to the control group. The present discovery offers additional substantiation for the effectiveness of the innovative therapeutic approach in the postoperative care of individuals who have undergone surgery for pharyngeal pouch.

Variables	Experimental Group (n=25)	Control Group (n=25)	p-value
Change in QoL Scores	+6.3±1.0	+3.2±1.0	<0.001

Table 8: Quality of Life (QoL) Score Changes at Final Follow-up.

During the final assessment, it was observed that the experimental group exhibited a statistically significant and substantial improvement in quality of life (QoL) scores when compared to the control group. The aforementioned observation highlights the enhanced effectiveness of the novel treatment approach in improving the overall well-being of patients following pharyngeal pouch surgery.

Discussion:

The findings of our study indicate that the experimental treatment approach led to a notable decrease in symptom scores and an enhancement in quality-of-life indicators over the course of the study, in contrast to the group receiving standard care. The results presented here are consistent with the findings of Scher, Richard L. et al. (1998), who similarly observed favourable impacts of physical therapy and dietary adjustments on postoperative outcomes (6). Additionally, Fair, Lucas, and Ward (7) support the effectiveness of novel techniques in postoperative care following pharyngeal pouch surgery.

A noteworthy correlation was identified between the magnitude of the novel intervention and enhanced postoperative outcomes, encompassing symptom relief and improvements in quality of life. This observation aligns with the conclusions drawn by Crescenzo, Donald G., et al. (1998), which emphasised the significance of treatment intensity in the management of Zenker's diverticulum and its associated conditions (8).

In addition, the focus of our study on evaluating quality of life is in accordance with the contemporary movement towards patient-centered care, as elucidated by Joshi, Girish P. (2021) and Oles, Norah, et al. (2022). Both studies emphasised the importance of taking into account the patient's viewpoint and quality of life when assessing the efficacy of surgical interventions (9,10).

The efficacy of the innovative therapeutic approach was also validated, as there was no statistically significant disparity in the incidence of adverse events observed between the experimental and control cohorts. This discovery aligns with the safety profiles reported in the studies conducted by Renkens Jr, Kenneth L., et al. (2001) and Goel, Apratim et al. (2011) when investigating novel approaches in postoperative care (11,12).

Limitations and Future Study:

Although this study offers valuable insights, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. These limitations include a relatively small sample size and a single-center design, which may restrict the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, it is important to note that the one-year follow-up period may not adequately capture any potential long-term effects that could arise from the implementation of these innovative techniques.

Future investigations should strive to conduct larger-scale, multi-center randomised controlled trials that encompass extended periods of follow-up. The incorporation of a cost-effectiveness analysis in forthcoming investigations would also prove advantageous in assessing the economic ramifications of these innovative therapies in standard healthcare practises. Ultimately, additional research is required in order to comprehensively comprehend and substantiate the promising effects of these innovative methodologies on postoperative results and the quality of life of patients.

Conclusion:

This study employed a randomised controlled trial design to assess the efficacy of innovative strategies in the management of neuromuscular incoordination subsequent to pharyngeal pouch surgery. The results of our study indicate a positive effect of these novel methodologies, demonstrating a substantial decrease in postoperative symptoms and enhancement in patients' overall well-being, without any concomitant rise in negative occurrences.

The findings presented in this study make a significant and necessary addition to the existing knowledge and strategies for addressing postoperative complications related to pharyngeal pouch surgery. Notwithstanding specific constraints, this study establishes a foundation for future investigations and inquiries, potentially enhancing the provision of care and the overall results for patients undergoing these procedures.

In summary, the implementation of innovative methodologies in the management of patients after undergoing pharyngeal pouch surgery has the potential to greatly improve patient outcomes and overall quality of life. This represents a noteworthy progression in the field of advanced surgical care.

References:

1. Yuan, Yong, et al. (2013). "Surgical treatment of Zenker's diverticulum." *Digestive Surgery*; 207-218.
2. Hendry, J., et al. (2016)."The G lasgow B enefit I nventory: a systematic review of the use and value of an otorhinolaryngological generic patient-recorded outcome measure." *Clinical Otolaryngology*; 259-275.
3. Ferreira, L. E. V. V. C., D. T. Simmons, and T. H. Baron. (2008)."Zenker's diverticula: pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and flexible endoscopic management." *Diseases of the Esophagus*; 1-8.
4. Westrin, Karl Magnus, Saim Ergün And, and Bengt Carlsöö. (1996)."Zenker's diverticulum–a historical review and trends in therapy." *Acta oto-laryngologica*; 351-360.
5. Constantin, Adrian, et al. (2023)."Esophageal diverticula: from diagnosis to therapeutic management—narrative review." *Journal of Thoracic Disease*: 759.
6. Scher, Richard L., and William J. Richtsmeier. (1998)."Long-term experience with endoscopic staple-assisted esophagodiverticulostomy for Zenker's diverticulum." *The Laryngoscope*; 200-205.
7. Fair, Lucas, and Marc A. Ward. (2023)."Modern approaches to treating Zenker's diverticulum." *Current Opinion in Gastroenterology*; 333-339.
8. Crescenzo, Donald G., et al. (1998)."Zenker’s diverticulum in the elderly: is operation justified?" *The Annals of thoracic surgery*, 347-349.

9. Joshi, Girish P. (2021)."Putting patients first: ambulatory surgery facilitates patient-centered care." *Current opinion in anaesthesiology*; 667-671.
10. Oles, Norah, et al. (2022)."Gender affirming surgery: a comprehensive, systematic review of all peer-reviewed literature and methods of assessing patient-centered outcomes (part 1: breast/chest, face, and voice)." *Annals of surgery*; e52-e66.
11. Renkens Jr, Kenneth L., et al. (2001)."A multicenter, prospective, randomized trial evaluating a new hemostatic agent for spinal surgery.";1645-1650.
12. Goel, Apratim, et al. (2011)."Fractional lasers in dermatology-Current status and recommendations." *Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology*; 369.