

Attention as a Cognitive Function

Theofilidis Antonis ^{1*}, Savvidis George ², Sofologi Maria ³

¹ Academic scholarship of the Department of Obstetrics, University of Western Macedonia

² PhD candidate, Department of Obstetrics, University of Western Macedonia

³ Cognitive Psychologist

***Corresponding Author:** Theofilidis Antonis, Clinical Neuropsychologist, 3rd Department of Psychiatry, Division of Neurosciences School of Medicine Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece.

Received Date: March 10, 2023; Accepted Date: March 17, 2023; Published Date: March 24, 2023.

Citation: Theofilidis Antonis, Savvidis George and Sofologi Maria, (2023) Attention as a Cognitive Function, *International Journal of clinical and Medical Case Reports*, 2(2); **Doi:10.31579/2834-8664/018**

Copyright: © 2023 Elizaveta I. Bon, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract:

The concept of attention held a special place during the historical development of psychology (Cohen, Sparkling –Cohen & O' Donell, 1993). Although hundreds of articles dealing with the concept of attention are published each year (Whyte, 1992a), due to the lack of coherence at a conceptual, methodological and theoretical level, there continues to be disagreement among scientists (Anderson, Craik & Naveh-Benjamin, 1998. Van Zomeran & Brower, 1994) on the nature of attention.

Aim: The main purpose of the article is the definition of attention

Method: a review of the literature was made and the most representative articles on the concept of attention were selected.

Conclusions: Attention is a multifaceted concept. Its special nature leads to the non-existence of a unified psychological theory that will explain and include all its dimensions.

Keywords: cognitive functions; attention

Introduction

The concept of attention held a special place during the historical development of psychology (Cohen, Sparkling –Cohen & O'Donell, 1993). Although hundreds of articles dealing with the concept of attention are published each year (Whyte, 1992a), due to the lack of coherence at a conceptual, methodological and theoretical level, there continues to be disagreement among scientists (Anderson, Craik & Naveh-Benjamin, 1998. Van Zomeran & Brower, 1994) on the nature of attention.

The meaning and nature of attention has not been defined even today. This signals the problems faced by clinicians due to attention deficits, which are secondary to brain damage and can have negative effects on patients (Cohen, Malloy & Jenkins, 1998; VanZomerem & Brouwer, 1994). Attention is usually described as a wide variety of abilities, processes and cognitive states, which concern how an organism takes in stimuli but also how the processing of the stimulus (either internal or external) can begin (Parasuraman, 1998). Attention incorporates a new range of cognitive functions, such as focused attention, sustained attention, divided attention, and vigilance, yet the terminology for its classification varies. In brain-injured patients, reduced reaction time and reduced speed of information processing are notable examples of attentional deficits

(Gronwall, 1987, 1991. Ponsford & Kinsella, 1988, 1992. Stuss et al., 1989. VanZomeran, Brouwer, & Deelman, 1984). Concentration problems, increased rate of distraction, inability to recall, and inability to perform more than two tasks simultaneously are also seen in people with acquired brain damage (Hinkeldey & Corrigan, 1990; Mateer, Sohlberg & Crinean, 1987).

Neuroanatomical Background of Attention Functions

Three separate but interconnected brain circuits control attentional functions (Posner & Petersen, 1990). These are:

1. The orientation of attention in space. This first circuit depends on the posterior attention system, which includes the posterior parietal lobe, the superior gyrus, and the lateral nucleus of the precuneate thalamus. Spatial orienting of attention refers to orienting to simple stimuli. An important element of perception is the shifting of attention from one object to another. According to PET (positron emission tomography), when a person's attention shifts to a visual field, both the posterior (superior) parietal cortex and the frontal cortex are activated. This has to do with the different aspects of attention. For example, the parietal region

is activated when attention is shifted based on sensory signals, independently of performing an overt movement. The frontal region is active only when selective stimuli lead to an overt response. Observation of patients with lesions in the parietal or frontal cortex reinforces this view.

When patients with damage to the parietal lobe simultaneously receive stimuli in the left and right visual fields, they fail to locate the sensory stimulus on the opposite side of the lesion. This defect, known as attenuation, appears to reflect the inability to shift attention to the contralateral space, that is, the space opposite the lesion, when attention is focused elsewhere. Although the inability to focus spatial attention does not by itself explain the wide range of observed phenomena contributing to inattention, it appears to be an important contributor to the clinical syndrome. Like neglect, extinction is much more common for right-sided stimuli. Data from positron emission tomography show that the asymmetry is observed because the right hemisphere is able to direct attention to both the left and right visual fields, whereas the left hemisphere can only control attention to the right visual field. Attention to the left visual field leads to activity in the right superior parietal cortex. Attention to the right visual field elicits bilateral activation in one area in the left superior parietal cortex and in separate areas in the right superior parietal cortex. So there are two clear ones representations in the right superior parietal lobe for directing attention separately to the left or right visual field, while there is a single representation in the left superior parietal lobe for directing attention primarily to the right visual field.

2. Target selection and conflict resolution. This circuit is executed in the anterior brain regions, which include the anterior arcuate fasciculus and supplementary motor areas. The thalamus is a brain structure directly linked to the selection of requested information. Information is collected in the brainstem's nonsensical pathways. In this process, the nuclei of the brain play an important role, as well as the selection of information, which proceeds to higher-level processing, as well as the selection of information, which, coming down from the cortex, is integrated and sent for further analysis (Mateer & Ojemann, 1983). . The ability to shift and switch attention is related to the foregoing and is a function primarily associated with activation of the anterior arcuate fasciculus (Bakay, Pragma, Mirsky, Ray, Turner & Mirsky, 1978).

3. Alertness/Maintaining attention. The third circuit is used when attention needs to be maintained in the absence of new external stimuli. Right prefrontal regions and the norepinephrine system are involved in maintaining vigilance. Working memory also recognizes the contribution of multiple systems to the successful storage and retrieval of memory, as its processes help to temporarily hold information and activate brain networks that include areas of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, with differential localization for verbal and spatial material. and posterior regions (Awh, Smith & Jonides, 1995. Cabeza & Nyberg, 1997). It is considered to be a crucial concept for understanding the term attention. Working memory is that which allows the individual to temporarily actively store information until it is used or to maintain immediate access to already stored information. For example, working memory allows the individual to retain information for as long as it takes to record it and to direct the individual's attention temporarily to a task and then successfully return to the original activity. Sustaining attention, selecting requested information, capacity for information processing ability, and switching attention between two tasks rely on working memory but also on the processes of the central processor, which is the link between permanent storage and of working memory (Baddeley & Hitch, 1974). Working memory is understood to be linked to an active set of control processes, including rehearsal, encoding, decision, and retrieval strategies. These processes facilitate the encoding and retention of information in a temporary storage area. Working memory requires not only the storage and retrieval of information, but also the manipulation of that information for task purposes. The figure below shows working memory, which depends on retrieving and storing information.

Special Characteristics of Attention

There are several researchers (Leclercg, 2002. Pashler, 1998. Parasuraman, 1998) who are satisfied with the characteristics of attention, attributed by James (1890). These characteristics concern two areas of attention, reflexive and voluntary. Reflexive signals the automatic processes, while voluntary signals the controlled processes of attention. Spikman, Kiers and their colleagues (2001), called them respectively "Stimulus-driven reaction" and "Memory-driven action", emphasizing in particular that control by the subject is a primary characteristic of the latter. Other characteristics of attention that have been recognized are its finite reserve and its finite ability both to change its focus and to respond to aesthetic or semantic features of the stimulus. Another type of differentiation between attentional activities has to do with whether it is sustained tonic attention as in vigilance, or switched accordingly as phasic attention, which orients the organism to changing stimuli.

Most researchers, (Lavie, 2001. Pashler, 1998. Van Zomerem & Brouwer, 1994), understand the concept of attention as a system in which processing takes place sequentially through the stages in the various brain systems that belonged to attention (Butter, 1987. Luck & Hillyard, 2000). This system appears to be organized in a hierarchical manner whereby early input is specialized according to the primary sense, while late processing, for example at the level of awareness, is suprasensory (Butter, 1987; Posner, 1990). Attention disorder can result from damage involving different parts of this system (Robertson & Lafal, 2000; Rousseaux et al., 2002). A notable feature of the attention system is its limited capacity (Lavie, 2001; Pashler, 1998. Van Zomerem & Brouwer, 1994). The limitation of the amount of processing that can take place at a given time is such that the system's engagement in processing a task that requires controlled attention may interfere with a second task that has similar processing demands. For example, someone may be unable to concentrate on a radio newscast while closely watching a sporting event on television, yet can easily perform a task that requires automatic (in this case highly overlearned) attention such as driving on a familiar route while listening to the newscast. The ability to pay attention varies not only between different individuals but also within the individual at different times and under different situations. Depression or fatigue, for example, may temporarily shrink attentional capacity in a normal adult (Landro, Stiles & Sletvold, 2001; Zimmerman & Leclercg, 2002), yet old age (Parasuraman & Greenwood, 1998; Vander Linden & Collete, 2002), along with brain damage may limit the ability to pay attention more permanently (Robertson & Rafal, 2000. Rousseaux & Cantagallo, 2002. Van Zomerem & Brouwer, 1994).

The Nature and Classification of Attention

The terminologies regarding the functions of attention vary, as do the articles that have been published (Bracy, 1994). Many researchers have given different terms to a function that serves the same purpose (Bracy, 1994). A typical example is the ability to switch one's attention to different tasks (e.g. driving and listening to a radio broadcast), referred to as "switching attention" by Sohlberg and Mateer (1987), as "strategic control" by Whyte (1992a), as "selective response and intention" by Cohen et al. (1998), as "selectivity" by van Zomerem and Brouwer (1994), as "orientation to simple stimuli" by Bracy (1994) and as "vigilance function" by Posner and Rafal (1987). The table below presents the theoretical and clinical definitions of attention functions.

Models for Attention

There is considerable overlap between factorial models of attention, cognitive processing models of attention, and clinical models of attention. Most of the factor models include functions related to sustaining attention over time, capacity for information, shifting attention, as well as the detection and exclusion of off-target information. For example, in their factor model Mirsky, Anthoni, Duncan, Ahearn, and Kellam (1991), identify four factors for attention: 1) focus-execution, 2) maintenance, 3) encoding, and 4) shifting.

In a clinical model for attention, Mapou (1995) includes the following factors: attention activation, capacity, resistance to interference, and mental manipulation. Cognitive processing models incorporate the concepts of vigilance, selection, dual-task performance, and automaticity (Baddeley, 1986). According to the review of various models of attention, related to individuals with head injuries, attention maintenance, selection, capacity, and attention switching emerge as key theoretical concepts with a high degree of clinical relevance.

References

- Andersson, S. & Bergedalen, A.M.(1998). Cognitive correlates of apathy in traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychiatry, Neuropsychology, and Behavioral Neurology* 15,184-191.
- Awh, E., Smith, E.E., & Jonides, J. (1995). Human rehearsal processes and the frontal lobes: PET evidence. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 769, 97-117.
- Baddeley, A.D., & Hitch, G. (1974). Working memory. In G.A. Bower (Ed.), *The psychology of learning and motivation*, Vol.8, 47-89. New York: Academic Press.
- Bakay, Pragay, E., Mirsky, A.E., Ray, C.L., Turner, D.E., & Mirsky. (1978). Neuronal activity in the brainstem reticular formation during performance of a "go-no go" visual attention task in the monkey. *Experimental Neurology*, 60, 83-95.
- Butter, C.M.(1987). Varieties of attention and disturbances of attention: A neuropsychological analysis. In M. Jeannrod (Ed.), *Neuropsychological and neuropsychological aspects of spatial neglect*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/North- Holland.
- Cabeza, R., & Nyberg, L. (1997). Imaging cognition: An empirical review of PET studies with normal subjects. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 9(1), 1-26.
- Cohen, N. (1993). Preserved learning capacity in amnesia: Evidence for multiple memory systems. In L. R. Squire & N. Butters (Eds.), *Neuropsychology of memory*, 83-103. New York: Guilford Press.
- Gronwall, D. (1987). Advances in the assessment of attention and information processing after head injury. In H. S. Levin, J. Grafman, & H. M. Eisenberg (Eds.), *Neurobehavioral recovery from head injury* (pp. 355-395). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gronwall, D. (1991). Minor head injury. *Neuropsychology*, 5, 235-265.
- Hinkeldey, N.S., & Corrigan, J.D. (1990). The structure of head-injured patients behavioral complaints: A preliminary study. *Brain Injury*, 4, 115-134.
- Hodges, J.R., (1998): *Cognitive Assessment for Clinicians*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lavie, N., (2001). Capacity limits in selective attention: Behavioral evidence and implications for neural activity. In J. Braun et al., (Eds.), *Visual attention and cortical circuits*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Leclercq, M. (2002). Theoretical aspects of the main components and functions of attention. In M. Leclercq & P. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Applied neuropsychology of attention*. New York: Psychology Press.
- Luck, S.J. & Hillyard, S.A. (2000). The operation of selective attention at multiple stages of processing: Evidence from human and monkey electrophysiology. In M.S. Gazzaniga (Ed.), *The new cognitive neurosciences* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Papassuraman, R. (1998). The attentive brain: Issues and prospects. In R. Papassuraman (Ed.), *The attentive brain*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pashler, H.E. (1998). *The psychology of attention*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Ponsford, J.L., & Kinsella, G.(1988). Evaluation of a remedial programme for attention deficits following closed head injury. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 10, 693-708.
- Posner, M.I. (1990). Hierarchical distributed networks in the neuropsychology of selective attention. In A. Caramazza (Ed.), *Cognitive neuropsychology and neurolinguistics: Advances in models of cognitive function and impairment*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Robertson, L.C. & Rafal, R.(2000). Disorders of visual attention. In M.S. Gazzaniga (Ed.), *The new cognitive neurosciences* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rouseaux, M., Fimm, B., & Cantagallo, A. (2002). Attention disorders in cerebrovascular diseases. In M. Leclercq & P. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Applied neuropsychology of attention. Theory, diagnosis and rehabilitation*. New York: Psychology Press.
- Stuss, D.T., Stethem, L.L., Hugeloltz, N., Picton, T., Pivik, J., & Richard, M.T.(1989). Reaction time after head injury: Fatigue, divided and focused attention and consistency of performance. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery Psychiatry*, 52, 742-748.
- van Zomeran, A.H., Brouwer, N.H., & Deelman, B.G.(1984). Attention deficits: The riddle of selectivity, speed and alertness. In N. Brooks (Ed.), *Closed head injury: Psychological, social, and family consequences* (pp. 74-107). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- van Zomeran, A.H. & Brouwer, W.H. (1994). *Clinical neuropsychology of attention*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Ready to submit your research? Choose ClinicSearch and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At ClinicSearch, research is always in progress.

Learn more <http://clinicsearchonline.org/journals/international-journal-of-clinical-and-medical-case-reports>



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.